



SCRUTINY BOARD (CHILDREN AND FAMILIES)

Meeting to be held in Civic Hall, Leeds, LS1 1UR on
Thursday, 8th November, 2012 at 9.45 am

(A pre-meeting will take place for ALL Members of the Board at 9.15 a.m.)

MEMBERSHIP

Councillors

- J Chapman (Chair) - Weetwood;
- B Gettings - Morley North;
- C Gruen - Bramley and Stanningley;
- A Hussain - Gipton and Harehills;
- A Khan - Burmantofts and Richmond Hill;
- A Lamb - Wetherby;
- P Latty - Guiseley and Rawdon;
- M Rafique - Chapel Allerton;
- K Renshaw - Ardsley and Robin Hood;
- A Sobel - Moortown;
- B Urry - Roundhay;

Co-opted Members (Voting)

- Mr E A Britten - Church Representative (Catholic)
- Vacancy - Church Representative (Church of England)
- Ms A Craven - Parent Governor Representative (Primary)
- Ms J Ward - Parent Governor Representative (Secondary)
- Ms N Cox - Parent Governor Representative (Special)

Co-opted Members (Non-Voting)

- Ms C Foote - Teacher Representative
- Ms C Raftery - Teacher Representative
- Mrs S Hutchinson - Early Years Representative
- Ms T Kayani - Leeds Youth Work Partnership Representative
- Ms J Morris-Boam - Young Lives Leeds

Agenda compiled by:
Guy Close
Governance Services
Tel: 24 74356

Principal Scrutiny Advisor:
Sandra Newbould
Tel: 24 74792

AGENDA

Item No	Ward/Equal Opportunities	Item Not Open		Page No
7			SCRUTINY INQUIRY - FOUNDATION YEARS - PROVIDING THE BEST START IN LIFE FOR CHILDREN TO SUCCEED – SESSION 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Learning and Skills and Universal Services – Presentation Slides• 2011/12 Early Year Foundation Stage Profile outcomes by cluster	1 - 18



Learning Skills and Universal Services

Liz Bradley, EYFS Improvement Manager, 0-11 Improvement Team

Question: The attainment gap in Leeds

- 1.** Do we have any information by ward/SOA or Cluster which highlights where the biggest gaps are in the city?
- 2.** Has any research been done in these localities to identify what the biggest barriers to learning are?
- 3.** And any resulting work from this research?
If no research has been done are there any plans?

Levels of quality versus achieved standards

There is little continuity between the percent of early years settings rated good or excellent and the outcomes of children's development in primary schools.

In Leeds, 65% of childminders and 73% childcare settings are rated good or excellent, while only 63% of children achieve a good level of development at age 5.

This pattern is exhibited across the country, whereby almost all good or excellent settings are not reflected in the percent of children achieving good development for the same area.

EYFS Profile outcomes 2012

	2010			2011			2012		
	Leeds	Nat	Stat Neigh*	Leeds	Nat	Stat Neigh*	Leeds	Nat	Stat Neigh*
% Good Level of Development*	53	56	57	58	59	60	63	64	63
Low Achievers gap**	35.7	32.7	33.2	35.0	31.4	32.7	33.6	30.1	31.9

Percentage of Leeds pupils achieving 6+ points at the Foundation Stage 2010 to 2012

	2010		2011		2012	
	Leeds	Nat'l	Leeds	Nat'l	Leeds	Nat'l
Personal and Social Development (PSED):						
Dispositions and Attitudes	85	91	87	91	89	92
Social Development	80	86	82	87	85	88
Emotional Development	76	81	79	83	81	85
Communication, language and literacy (CLL):						
Language for communication and thinking	79	84	81	86	84	87
Linking sounds and letters	75	77	76	79	80	83
Reading	71	74	74	76	76	79
Writing	62	65	65	67	69	71
Problem Solving, Reasoning & Numeracy (PSRN)						
Numbers as labels for Counting	86	89	86	90	89	91
Calculating	70	76	72	78	76	80
Shape, space and measures	79	84	81	85	83	86
Knowledge & understanding of the world (KUW)	77	83	80	84	82	86
Physical development (PD)	87	91	88	91	90	92
Creative Development (CD)	78	82	79	83	81	85

1. Do we have any information by ward/SOA or Cluster which highlights where the biggest gaps are in the city?

How is the gap calculated?

The gap indicator is calculated by looking at the difference between Median score of full cohort and Mean score of lowest achieving 20%.

This is expressed as a percentage of the Median score of the full cohort.

A challenge

Narrowing the gap indicator doesn't work at cluster levels and is best understood at a city level...

however, the Children's Performance Service have replicated the following at a cluster level showing **how many** pupils fall into the bottom 20% cohort.

However, schools have access to detailed gap data at an individual school level through their data disk.

1823 children in Leeds were in the bottom 20% of the cohort in 2011/12. Of these, 17 were in SILCs and are not included in the table analyses.

What do we know ? GLD by cluster

- 12/27 clusters have a good level of development (GLD) lower than the Leeds average 64%
- **C.H.E.S.S** lowest GLD at 45.7% (169 pupils)
- **EPOS – Boston Spa and Villages** highest GLD at 79.2% (156 pupils)

What do we know ? CLL by cluster

- 65% of pupils in Leeds are working securely in Communication and Language strand
- 9/27 clusters have pupils working towards a secure CLL score
- **C.H.E.S.S** lowest secure CLL score at 48.4% (179 pupils)
- **EPOS – Boston Spa and Villages** highest secure CLL score at 80.2% (158 pupils)

What do we know ? PSED by cluster

- 77% of pupils in Leeds are working securely in Personal Social and Emotional strand
- 9/27 clusters have pupils working towards a secure PSED score
- **J.E.S.S** lowest secure PSED score at 58.0% (328 pupils)
- **Aireborough** highest secure PSED score at 89.6% (361 pupils)

What do we know? Bottom 20% by cluster

- **1823** children in Leeds were in the bottom 20% of the cohort in 2011/12
- The cluster with the highest number of pupils in the bottom 20% is **C.H.E.S.S** with 42.7% (158 pupils) followed by
 - **JESS** 39.6% 224 pupils
 - **Inner East** 33.9% 217 pupils
 - **Bramley** 29.0% 112 pupils
- **EPOS – Villages West and Wetherby** have the lowest number of pupils in the Leeds bottom 20% = 7.6% (20 pupils)

2. Has any research been done in these localities to identify what the biggest barriers to learning are?

3. And any resulting work from this research? If no research has been done are there any plans?

- Not formally, as the statistical release has been published within the last month.
- Cluster level analysis will be added to the EYFS Profile 2012 summary of statistical release report published on 17 October 2012.
- This will be distributed to the clusters through the School Improvement team and shared with early years providers through their cross sector meetings.
- The report is tabled for the January meeting of the 0-11 Partnership Board, after which actions will be identified.

Possible barriers to learning?

- Consider the **assessment process** – teacher led, staff retention and skills and though assessments are moderated by the local authority, this is over a four year cycle as required by the Standards and Testing Agency
- The percentage of children receiving their free early education entitlement in **high quality settings**.
- **Delayed** PSED and communication and language skills on entry to school.
- Increased numbers of children entering formal education with **limited experience of early learning** (e.g. from other countries).
- Lack of a national 'tracking' tool from birth to the end of the EYFS that all providers can use consistently.

What are we doing now?

- Widened the '**Every Child a Talker**' programme to a cluster approach (2nd year for this model).
- Bringing together preschool and school settings in **local clusters** to moderate children's assessments.
- Introducing an **integrated review** for children aged 2 between health and education.
- Bringing providers together for training on the three prime areas of learning.
- Stronger focus on 'closing the gap' across **Children's Centre reach areas**.
- Introducing a **categorisation process** for early years settings delivering poor outcomes for children.
- Effective **tracking of children's learning** in our Children's Centres.
- Plus...

Revised Ofsted inspection framework

...stronger emphasis on children's progress and the quality of teaching and learning.



Ofsted
raising standards
improving lives

Evaluation schedule for inspections of registered early years provision

Guidance and grade descriptors for inspecting registered early years provision from September 2012

The evaluation schedule provides outline guidance and grade descriptors for the judgements that inspectors will report on when inspecting registered early years providers who deliver the Early Years Foundation Stage.

Age group: 0-5
Published: July 2012
Reference no: 120066



0-11 learning improvement focus...

Finalising **early years improvement strategy** (for group settings in the first instance)

- Role of Quality Improvement Partner
- Levels of categorisation
- Team around the Setting
- Teaching and Learning focus



DRAFT - CONFIDENTIAL

Leeds
CITY COUNCIL

VERSION 1

**The Leeds Approach –
Strategy for Early Years Improvement
for registered early years providers delivering the Early
Years Foundation Stage**

September 2012

Provisional List of Contents

Page	
	Foreword
4	Section 1: Introduction and rationale for an early years quality improvement programme.
8	Section 2: Setting Categorisation
10	Section 3: The process to support settings and local authorities with their categorisation of settings.
20	Section 4: Early Years Improvement Team and Settings – allocation of support and partnership working to secure and sustain setting improvement.
21	Section 5: The Quality Conversation
22	Section 6: Focussed Improvement Plans
23	Section 7: Independent Quality Assessments
24	Section 8: Roles and Responsibilities

Local guidance for **monitoring children's progress** for all providers




Journey through the revised

Early Years Foundation Stage



The New EYFS Profile



17 early learning goals

Reading	Writing	Exploring and using media and materials	Being imaginative
People and communities	The world	Technology	Numbers
Shapes, space and measures	Moving and handling	Health and self care	Listening and attention
Understanding	Speaking		

Levels of development

- Practitioners must review their knowledge of each child from all sources, and make a judgement for each ELG as to whether the child's learning and development is best described by:
 - The description of the level of development **expected** at the end of EYFS
 - Below this level (**emerging**)
 - Beyond this level (**exceeding**)

Responsibility

- High quality
- Ensuring that all children making the progress to which they are entitled to make
- Engaging all parents
- Keeping up to date and informed especially on child development
- To make that difference - to impact on the outcomes for children and families

This page is intentionally left blank

2011/12 Early Years Foundation Stage Profile outcomes by cluster

Cluster	Good level of development ¹		6+ in all CLL strands		6+ in all PSE strands		In Leads bottom 20% ²	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
JESS	268	47.3%	282	49.8%	328	58.0%	224	39.6%
C.H.E.S.S.	169	45.7%	179	48.4%	226	61.1%	158	42.7%
Bramley	197	51.0%	215	55.7%	250	64.8%	112	29.0%
Inner East	329	51.3%	340	53.0%	437	68.2%	217	33.9%
Beeston, Cottingley and Middleton	202	53.4%	209	55.3%	265	70.1%	92	24.3%
Templenewsam Halton	167	56.8%	176	59.9%	208	70.7%	79	26.9%
Farnley	103	57.2%	106	58.9%	128	71.1%	49	27.2%
Seacroft Manston	345	62.4%	360	65.1%	409	74.0%	122	22.1%
ACES	182	59.5%	189	61.8%	229	74.8%	76	24.8%
OPEN XS	123	65.4%	126	67.0%	146	77.7%	44	23.4%
Ardley and Tingley	141	62.9%	156	69.6%	174	77.7%	30	13.4%
Brigshaw	162	66.9%	172	71.1%	189	78.1%	42	17.4%
NETWORKS	166	62.2%	169	63.3%	216	80.9%	46	17.2%
Morley	298	63.1%	314	66.5%	392	83.1%	68	14.4%
Garforth	165	64.2%	171	66.5%	215	83.7%	40	15.6%
ESNW	190	69.6%	198	72.5%	229	83.9%	37	13.6%
Alwoodley	189	71.9%	191	72.6%	221	84.0%	31	11.8%
N.E.X.T.	253	70.9%	261	73.1%	300	84.0%	43	12.0%
Outer Pudsey	190	68.6%	199	71.8%	233	84.1%	36	13.0%
Inner NW Hub	202	68.0%	206	69.4%	254	85.5%	51	17.2%
Otley/Pool/Bramhope	169	76.5%	173	78.3%	190	86.0%	32	14.5%
Horsforth	201	74.2%	205	75.6%	234	86.3%	27	10.0%
EPOS - Villages West and Wetherby	198	75.6%	206	78.6%	228	87.0%	20	7.6%
Rothwell	285	76.0%	295	78.7%	327	87.2%	31	8.3%
Inner Pudsey	207	61.2%	222	65.9%	295	87.5%	41	12.2%
EPOS - Boston Spa and Villages South	156	79.2%	158	80.2%	176	89.3%	19	9.6%
Aireborough	308	76.4%	317	78.7%	361	89.6%	39	9.7%

Footnotes

- 1 - The good level of development indicator is a score of 6 or more across the seven scales and 78 points or more in total.
- 2 - 1823 children in Leads were in the bottom 20% of the cohort in 2011/12. Of these, 17 were in SILCs and are not included in the above table.

Version number:	V1.1
Date produced:	05 November 2012
Created by:	Becky Hill
Contact details:	becky.hill@leeds.gov.uk
Status:	Final
Filepath:	L:\PMITV\Research and Information\Requests\2012-13\Early Years\Liz Bradley
Protective marking:	Not protectively marked

2011/12 Early Years Foundation Stage Profile outcomes by cluster
Good Level of Development (ranked)

Cluster	GLD	
	Number	Percentage
C.H.E.S.S.	169	45.7%
JESS	268	47.3%
Bramley	197	51.0%
Inner East	329	51.3%
Beeston, Cottingley and Middleton	202	53.4%
Templenewsam Halton	167	56.8%
Farnley	103	57.2%
ACES	182	59.5%
Inner Pudsey	207	61.2%
NETWORKS	166	62.2%
Seacroft Manston	345	62.4%
Ardsley and Tingley	141	62.9%
Morley	298	63.1%
Garforth	165	64.2%
OPEN XS	123	65.4%
Brigshaw	162	66.9%
Inner NW Hub	202	68.0%
Outer Pudsey	190	68.6%
ESNW	190	69.6%
N.E.X.T.	253	70.9%
Alwoodley	189	71.9%
Horsforth	201	74.2%
EPOS - Villages West and Wetherby	198	75.6%
Rothwell	285	76.0%
Aireborough	308	76.4%
Otley/Pool/Bramhope	169	76.5%
EPOS - Boston Spa and Villages	156	79.2%

**2011/12 Early Years Foundation Stage Profile outcomes by cluster
6+ CLL (ranked)**

Cluster	6+ in all CLL strands	
	Number	Percentage
C.H.E.S.S.	179	48.4%
JESS	282	49.8%
Inner East	340	53.0%
Beeston, Cottingley and Middleton	209	55.3%
Bramley	215	55.7%
Farnley	106	58.9%
Templenewsam Halton	176	59.9%
ACES	189	61.8%
NETWORKS	169	63.3%
Seacroft Manston	360	65.1%
Inner Pudsey	222	65.9%
Morley	314	66.5%
Garforth	171	66.5%
OPEN XS	126	67.0%
Inner NW Hub	206	69.4%
Ardsley and Tingley	156	69.6%
Brigshaw	172	71.1%
Outer Pudsey	199	71.8%
ESNW	198	72.5%
Alwoodley	191	72.6%
N.E.X.T.	261	73.1%
Horsforth	205	75.6%
Otley/Pool/Bramhope	173	78.3%
EPOS - Villages West and Wetherby	206	78.6%
Aireborough	317	78.7%
Rothwell	295	78.7%
EPOS - Boston Spa and Villages	158	80.2%

**2011/12 Early Years Foundation Stage Profile outcomes by cluster
6+ PSED (ranked)**

Cluster	6+ in all PSE strands	
	Number	Percentage
JESS	328	58.0%
C.H.E.S.S.	226	61.1%
Bramley	250	64.8%
Inner East	437	68.2%
Beeston, Cottingley and Middleton	265	70.1%
Templenewsam Halton	208	70.7%
Farnley	128	71.1%
Seacroft Manston	409	74.0%
ACES	229	74.8%
OPEN XS	146	77.7%
Ardsley and Tingley	174	77.7%
Brigshaw	189	78.1%
NETWORKS	216	80.9%
Morley	392	83.1%
Garforth	215	83.7%
ESNW	229	83.9%
Alwoodley	221	84.0%
N.E.X.T.	300	84.0%
Outer Pudsey	233	84.1%
Inner NW Hub	254	85.5%
Otley/Pool/Bramhope	190	86.0%
Horsforth	234	86.3%
EPOS - Villages West and Wetherby	228	87.0%
Rothwell	327	87.2%
Inner Pudsey	295	87.5%
EPOS - Boston Spa and Villages	176	89.3%
Aireborough	361	89.6%

2011/12 Early Years Foundation Stage Profile outcomes by cluster

In Leeds bottom 20% (ranked)		
Cluster	Number	Percentage
C.H.E.S.S.	158	42.7%
JESS	224	39.6%
Inner East	217	33.9%
Bramley	112	29.0%
Farnley	49	27.2%
Temple Newsam Halton	79	26.9%
ACES	76	24.8%
Beeston, Cottingley and Middleton	92	24.3%
OPEN XS	44	23.4%
Seacroft Manston	122	22.1%
Brigshaw	42	17.4%
NETWORKS	46	17.2%
Inner NW Hub	51	17.2%
Garforth	40	15.6%
Otley/Pool/Bramhope	32	14.5%
Morley	68	14.4%
ESNW	37	13.6%
Ardsley and Tingley	30	13.4%
Outer Pudsey	36	13.0%
Inner Pudsey	41	12.2%
N.E.X.T.	43	12.0%
Alwoodley	31	11.8%
Horsforth	27	10.0%
Aireborough	39	9.7%
EPOS - Boston Spa and Villages	19	9.6%
Rothwell	31	8.3%
EPOS - Villages West and Wetherby	20	7.6%

This page is intentionally left blank